BEFORE THE 1 POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD STATE OF WASHINGTON 2 IN THE MATTER OF 3 PCHB No. 79-132 JAY F. PATTERSON AND RICHARD D. WINDHAM, 4 FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW Appellants, 5 AND ORDER v. 6 PUGET SOUND AIR POLLUTION 7 CONTROL AGENCY, 3 Respondent.) 9

This matter, the appeal from the imposition of a \$250 civil penalty for the alleged violation of Section 8.02(3) of respondent's Regulation 1, came before the Pollution Control Hearings Board, Nat W. Washington, Chairman, Chris Smith and David Akana (presiding) at a formal hearing on December 5, 1979 in Seattle.

Appellant Jay F. Patterson appeared pro se.; respondent was represented by its attorney, Keith D. McGoffin.

Having heard the testimony, having examined the exhibits and

S 1 No 9928-OS-8-67

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

having considered the contentions of the parties, the Board makes these

FINDINGS OF FACT

I

On July 11, 1979 at about 11:00 a.m., respondent's inspector visited property owned by Richard D. Windham located at 19028 NE 132nd Street in Woodinville as a result of a complaint of an outdoor fire received by the agency on the previous day. The inspector found a smoldering fire which contained tree stumps and asphalt shingles. The inspector determined that the asphalt shingles came from waste materials located on appellant Jay F. Patterson's property adjacent to the burn site.

For the above occurrence appellant was sent a notice of violation from which followed a \$250 civil penalty for the alleged violation of Section 8.02 of Regulation 1.

ΙŢ

The fire was caused by a young boy who was an employee of appellant Patterson. The boy acted outside the instructions given him, which was to take the materials to a dump. Appellant is renovating his personal home and a repeat of this violation is not likely.

Appellant Richard Windham had no responsibility for the fire.

III

Pursuant to RCW 43.21B.260, respondent has filed a certified copy of its Regulation 1 and amendments thereto which we notice.

Section 8.02(3) makes it unlawful for any person to cause or

1

allow any outdoor fire containing asphalt or petroleum products.

Section 3.29 provides for a civil penalty of up to \$250 per day for each violation of Regulation 1.

ΙV

Any Conclusion of Law which should be deemed a Finding of Fact is hereby adopted as such.

From these Findings the Board comes to these

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

I

The civil penalty was not properly imposed upon appellant Windham and should be vacated as to him.

ΙI

Appellant Patterson is responsible for the violation of Section 8.02(3) of Regulation 1 as alleged. The imposition of a civil penalty is proper but under the circumstances of this case the amount assessed should be reduced to \$125 and \$75 thereof suspended.

III

Any Finding of Fact which should be deemed a Conclusion of Law is hereby adopted as such.

From these Conclusions the Board enters this

ORDER

- 1. The imposition of a \$250 civil penalty upon appellant Windham is reversed.
 - 2. The \$250 civil penalty upon appellant Patterson is reduced

FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT,
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER 3

25

to \$125. Fifty dollars of the \$125 is payable, the remainder of the penalty, \$75, is suspended on condition that appellant Patterson not violate any provision of Regulation 1 for six months from the date of this Order. 19# day of December, 1979. DATED this POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD

S. C. S. J. 198. 3